

POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE ADDENDUM

4.00PM, THURSDAY, 1 JULY 2021

HOVE TOWN HALL - COUNCIL CHAMBER

Agendas and minutes are published on the council's website www.brighton-hove.gov.uk. Agendas are available to view five working days prior to the meeting date.

Electronic agendas can also be accessed through our meetings app available through <u>ModernGov:</u> <u>iOS/Windows/Android</u>

This agenda and all accompanying reports are printed on recycled paper

ADDENDUM

ITEM		Page
	GENERAL MATTERS	
5	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT (b) Questions from: (1) Emma Andrews (2) Ricky Perrin (3) Adrian Hart A STRONGER CITY	3 - 4
14	REPORT ON MEMBERS ALLOWANCES - JULY 2021 Report of the Executive Lead Officer for Strategy, Governance & Law. A CITY TO CALL HOME	5 - 18
15	OLD STEINE AND PALACE PLACE APPROPRIATION FROM GENERAL FUND TO HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT Extract from the proceedings of the Housing Committee meeting held on the 23 rd June 2021.	19 - 22

POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE

Agenda Item 5 (b)

Brighton & Hove City Council

WRITTEN QUESTIONS

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed at each ordinary meeting for questions submitted by a member of the public.

The question will be answered without discussion. The person who asked the question may ask one relevant supplementary question, which shall be put and answered without discussion. The person to whom a question, or supplementary question, has been put may decline to answer it.

The following written guestions have been received from members of the public:

1. QUESTION From: Emma Andrews

What would the council call the East side children's play and amusement area if the children's amusements were removed and vacated?

At the last TECC Committee in June we asked the council for valid objections to the King Alfred Temporary Ice Rink project.

The objection given was that the Council disagreed with our term "unused area" and they insisted that it was called "the roof of a vacant, aging building".

On that basis the East side of the King Alfred site is the children's play and amusement area, which has been earning the Council income for decades, is also really situated on the "the roof of a vacant, aging building". So the objection was clearly not a valid one.

2. QUESTION From: Ricky Perrin

Would Members of the committee accept an invitation to visit some of the multi-sport community venues in other cities with my organisation so that those plans are made after seeing proven successful venues in other cities?

I've spoken with many councillors about basketball, disability sports, community sports roles, and return of The Brighton Bears.

It appears surveys, reviews, assessments, and sports needs plans are underway to make the leisure offer and sports facilities improve shortly. Everybody within the council I have engaged with shares the passion for this city to bring the community leisure sports facilities into the 21st century.

3. QUESTION From: Adrian Hart

Is it the case that new rules on petitions and post-codes ratified last May puts into question petitions debated at Full Council and which formed (and still forms) part of a mandate for BHCC policy?

POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE

Agenda Item 14

Brighton & Hove City Council

Subject: Report by the Independent Remuneration Panel for

Brighton & Hove City Council

Date of Meeting: 1 July 2021

Report of: Executive Director Strategy Governance and Law

Contact Officer: Name: Elizabeth Culbert Tel: 01273 2915

Email: elizabeth.culbert@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Ward(s) affected: All

FOR GENERAL RELEASE

Note: The special circumstances for non-compliance with Council Procedure Rule 3, Access to Information Procedure Rule 5 and Section 100B(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended), (items not considered unless the agenda is open to inspection at least five days in advance of the meeting) were that final confirmation of the Panel's recommendations could not be obtained prior to publication of the agenda.

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND POLICY CONTEXT

- 1.1 This report covers the report and recommendations of the Independent Remuneration Panel (IRP) with regard to their review of the Member Allowances Scheme (the Scheme).
- 1.2 The review covered three areas: the payment of Special Responsibility Allowances for roles that are undertaken on a job-share basis; support for car parking, and allowances for co-optees.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

Policy & Resources Committee recommends to full Council that:-

- 2.1 Recommendation 3.1 as set out in the attached IRP report relating to job sharing roles be agreed.
- 2.2 Recommendation 3.2 as set out in the attached IRP report relating to car parking for members be agreed.
- 2.3 Recommendation 3.3 as set out in the attached IRP report relating to an allowance for co-optees who attend committee in a personal capacity be agreed.
- 2.4 The Executive Director Strategy, Governance and Law be delegated authority to update Council Procedure Rules to reflect the agreement in relation to the job sharing of roles as referred to in paragraph 3.2 of this report and to publish the revised Members Allowances Scheme.

3. CONTEXT/ BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 3.1 The role of the IRP, under the Local Authorities (Members' Allowances) (England) Regulations 2003, is to make recommendations to the Council as to the responsibilities or duties in respect of which allowances should be available and the amount of those allowances. The IRP may also make recommendations as to whether, in the event that the Allowances Scheme is amended at any stage, payment of allowances may be backdated. All councils are required to convene their Allowances Panel and seek its advice before they make any changes or amendments to their Allowances Scheme and they must 'pay regard' to the Panel's recommendations before setting a new or amended Members' Allowances Scheme.
- 3.2 In November 2019 the Policy & Resources Committee considered a report on Women in Local Government and agreed a recommendation that Member positions of special responsibility are open to job share. At full Council on 23 July 2020 appointments were made to Committees and Sub-Committees, including the appointments to positions of special responsibility. This included a number of roles which were identified as job share roles. A subsequent report was submitted to the 13 August full Council meeting confirming some of the details. A Chair's job share protocol was included for information with the papers for full Council.
- 3.3 In view of the above, the Independent Remuneration Panel (IRP) were invited to consider whether changes or clarification of the agreed Member's Allowances Scheme 2018-2023 was necessary.
- 3.4 At the Budget Council meeting in February 2021 it was agreed that car parking provision at the Norton Road car park for councillors should be withdrawn. The IRP were asked to review the recommendations and consider the implications for the Scheme.
- 3.5 Finally, the IRP were also asked by a resolution of P&R on 13th May 2021 to consider whether co-optees and standing invitees who are appointed and attend council committee meetings in a personal and voluntary capacity should receive an allowance to acknowledge the value they bring to the Council's decision-making.
- 3.6 The IRP met on 19th January, 2nd March and 25th May 2021, with councillors in attendance on 2nd March and 25th May. The Panel's report and recommendations are attached to this report as Appendix 1.

4. ANALYSIS & CONSIDERATION OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

4.1 In relation to all the proposals set out in the report, the IRP stated that they would review the situation as part of the next full review ahead of making recommendations for the Members Allowances Scheme to be in place for 2023-2027.

5. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT & CONSULTATION

- 5.1 The Panel sought the views of councillors directly and through Group Leaders and met with a number of councillors prior to making its recommendations on potential changes to the current Members Allowances Scheme.
- 5.2 The different Groups had different preferences in terms of the options for car parking they wished to see pursued. The Green Group were in favour of withdrawing support for Councillor parking (Option 2); the Labour Group indicated a preference for Option 3 (passes issued but no spaces reserved) and the Conservative Group indicated a preference to maintain the existing level of support (Option 1).

6. CONCLUSION

6.1 The report presents the report and recommendations of the IRP for consideration by the Committee.

7. FINANCIAL & OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

Financial Implications:

- 7.1 The recommendations regarding job sharing roles have been made on the basis that they remain cost neutral and there are not expected to be any financial implications arising from these recommendations.
- 7.2 The recommendations regarding parking for members will mean that the potential budget saving of £0.025m agreed by Budget Council in February 2021 cannot be achieved in 2021/22. This can be addressed by utilising the small General Fund contingency budget but this may become an additional commitment in the 2022/23 budget if there are other calls on contingency during the year.
- 7.3 The recommendations regarding allowances for co-opted members will result in a small additional cost of £1,500, however, this is expected to be manageable within the overall budget held by Democratic Services.

Finance Officer Consulted: Nigel Manvell Date: 18/06/21

Legal Implications:

7.4 These are included in the body of the report.

Lawyer Consulted: Elizabeth Culbert Date: 180621

Equalities Implications:

7.5 The proposed revision to the Scheme in respect of job share roles will support younger members, working members and those with other responsibilities to undertake a position of responsibility. The proposal in relation to car parking will ensure ongoing accessibility for members who need to attend meetings and who may have mobility or other issues which are supported by access to car parking

arrangements nearby. The proposal for co-optees who attend Council committee meetings in a personal and voluntary capacity to receive an allowance will assist in ensuring that invitees are not excluded from engaging in the role on financial grounds.

Sustainability Implications:

7.6 The use of a car has recognised environmental implications, which need to be taken into consideration when a councillor fulfils their duties and responsibilities.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Appendices:

1. IRP report and recommendations dated 18th June 2021

Report by the Independent Remuneration Panel for Brighton & Hove City Council

Mr Ken Childerhouse (Chair)
Mr Martin Andrews
Mr John Bateman
Ms Rachel Potter

18th June 2021

1. Introduction: The Regulatory Context and Background to the Report

- 1.1 The Panel was convened under The Local Authorities (Members' Allowances) (England) Regulations 2003. These Regulations require all local authorities to set up and maintain an advisory Independent Remuneration Allowances Panel to review and provide advice on Members' allowances. All councils are required to convene their Allowances Panel and seek its advice before they make any changes or amendments to their allowances scheme, and they must 'pay regard' to the Panel's recommendations before setting a new or amended Members' Allowances Scheme.
- 1.2 The functions of the Panel are set out in the Regulations, namely to produce a report in relation to Members of the Council making recommendations:
 - (a) as to the amount of basic allowance which should be payable to Members;
 - (b) as to the duties in respect of which such Members should receive a special responsibility allowance and as to the amount of such allowance;
 - (c) as to whether the allowance scheme should include allowances in respect of the expenses of arranging for the care of children or dependants of such Members and the amount of such allowances;
 - (d) as to the responsibilities or duties in respect of which a travel and subsistence allowance should be available;
 - (e) as to the responsibilities or duties in respect of which a co-optees' allowance should be available;
 - (f) as to whether payment of allowances may be backdated in accordance with Regulation 10(6) in the event of the scheme being amended at any time;
 - (g) as to whether adjustments to the level of allowances may be determined according to an index and, if so, which index and how long that index should apply;
 - (h) as to which Members of an authority are to be entitled to pensions in accordance with a scheme made under section 7 of the Superannuation Act 1972;
 - (i) as to treating basic allowance or special responsibility allowance, or both, as amounts in respect of which such pensions are payable;
 - (j) as to whether any allowances to Members should be withheld in the event of the Member concerned being suspended or partially suspended.
- 1.3 This report relates to a request for the Panel to advise the Council in relation to proposed changes to the Members Allowances Scheme covering the period 2019 to 2023.

2. The Panel

- 2.1 Brighton & Hove City Council has appointed the following to its Independent Remuneration Panel, namely:
 - Ken Childerhouse (Chair) (retired university lecturer);
 - Martin Andrews (civil servant):
 - John Bateman (teaches Corporate Governance in the Department of Business and Management at the University of Sussex);
 - Rachel Potter (JP, Journalist and Editor specialising in local government and the public sector).
- 2.2 The Panel was asked to consider the following issues that may require amendments to the Scheme:-
 - Job sharing and co-chairing of committees by councillors
 - The provision of support for car parking for councillors
 - A proposal to provide an allowance for co-optees to Council Committees, where the individual is not representing on organisation
- 2.3 The Panel considered the issues highlighted through an on-line questionnaire to councillors, virtual meetings with councillors impacted by the new cochairing arrangements and virtual meetings with the Leaders of the Opposition Groups. The Panel sought to take into account:
 - The current Allowances Scheme;
 - Councillors' views on the proposed changes to the Scheme;
 - The council's decision-making structure;
 - The council's budgetary position and savings targets.
- 2.4 The Panel met on 19th January, 2nd March and 25th May 2021, with councillors in attendance on 2nd March and 25th May. The Panel carefully considered the representations they received from councillors and officers, together with the written information presented, before they reached their conclusions.

3. Recommendations

The following recommendations are put before the Council:-

- 3.1 That the Scheme is amended to reflect the changes in relation to job sharing roles as set out in paragraph 4.8 of this report;
- 3.2 That Option 1 in relation to support for car parking for members is agreed as set out at paragraph 5.5-5.7 of this report;
- 3.3 That an allowance of £500 is included in the Scheme, payable to co-optees and standing invitees to Council Committees who attend in a personal capacity as set out more fully at paragraph 6 below.

4. Job sharing and co-chairing of committees by councillors

- 4.1 In November 2019 full Council considered a report on Women in Local Government and agreed a recommendation that Member positions of special responsibility are open to job share.
- 4.2 At full Council on 13th August 2020 appointments were made to Committees and Sub-Committees, including the appointments to positions of special responsibility. This included a number of roles which were identified as job share roles. A Chair's job share protocol was included for information with the papers for full Council.
- 4.3 In view of the above, the Independent Remuneration Panel (IRP) were invited to consider whether changes or clarification of the agreed Member's Allowances Scheme 2019-2023 was necessary.
- 4.4 On 25th March 2021 full Council considered a report from the IRP and made the following resolutions in relation to the issue of job sharing:-
 - (1) That the comments of the IRP regarding their encouragement to the Council to consider further information being shared regarding the nature and practical implementation of job share roles for Councillors be noted;
 - (2) That a report be brought to the next meeting of Policy & Resources Committee to detail which jobs could be job shared in any revisions to the Scheme.
- 4.5 The Panel noted from previous council debates and decisions that principle of job-sharing seemed to be generally accepted. However, there was concern expressed relating to (a) perceived difficulty in operating the job-share arrangements in terms of members and officers knowing who does what; (b) perceived duplication of representation where both job share members attend meetings and (c) perceived potential additional costs to the Council.
- 4.6 The IRP wish again to make clear in this report that they were confined to considering the allowances applicable to the posts that had been agreed by full Council in August 2020, which included job share roles. The IRP made no comment on the structure or division of roles that had been agreed. They noted that it was a matter for full Council to determine the positions of responsibility and to make any changes to these, including whether or not a role could be a job share. The IRP noted that a job share protocol was in place that was published as part of the Council agenda on 13th August 2021 and further noted that the Council may wish to consider amendments or updating of that protocol to address the concerns that have been raised and to consider keeping that protocol under regular review.
- 4.7 The IRP considered that the Allowances Scheme should enshrine a general principle that arrangements for job sharing should not result in additional costs which would be more that the total cost of the scheme if there was no job sharing ie the arrangements should be cost neutral to the overall Scheme.

4.8 The IRP considered the division and application of SRA's between roles that are job shared to be in line with the Fair Remuneration principle set out in the Scheme. The IRP concluded that the proposals to enable the division of SRAs between roles that are job shared will support younger members, working members, and those with other responsibilities, to be able to hold senior positions and have the opportunity to develop in their roles.

Recommendation

- 4.9 Taking the above principles into account, the IRP recommend that the Allowances Scheme is amended to reflect that:
 - (a) A Member who job shares a role receives 50% of the SRA for that post;
 - (b) If a Member job-shares two roles, they receive 50% of the SRA for each of the posts they share;
 - (c) A Member should not be allowed to job share more than two roles;
 - (d) Deputy Leader arrangements be rationalised so that:
 - (i) A single Deputy Leader will be expected to Chair a Committee and get the full Deputy Leader Allowance (currently £20,039.)
 - (ii) If 2 Members job share the Deputy Leader position and share the Chairing of the same committee, they share 50% of the Deputy Leader's allowance (currently £10,020)
 - (iii) If the 2 Members job share the Deputy role but also Chair one committee each full time, they shall both receive 50% Deputy Leader's allowance and 50% committee chair's allowance (meaning they would receive a total SRA of £15,030 currently).
 - (e) If the Leader's position is job-shared, the joint Leaders will be expected, between them to chair the equivalent of a full time policy committee (Policy & Resources Committee) and the Leader's allowance will be shared between them equally.
- 4.10 The IRP noted that at Annual Council in May 2021, appointments were made to the role of Leader of the Official Opposition on a job share basis (referred to as Co-Leaders). As set out above, the IRP recommend that each Member job sharing that role should receive 50% of the SRA for that post.
- 5. The provision of support for car parking for councillors
- 5.1 Independent Remuneration Panels have direct responsibility for making recommendations in relation to travel and subsistence allowances.
- 5.2 At the Budget Council meeting in February 2021 it was agreed that a £25k saving should be attributed to car parking provision for councillors at the Norton Road car park, subject to consideration by the IRP. The IRP were

- asked to review the recommendations and consider the implications for the Allowances Scheme.
- 5.3 Full Council on 25th March 2021 made the following resolution in relation to car parking:-
 - (3) That an Options Paper be brought to the next meeting of Policy & Resources Committee to decide on any revisions to the Members Allowances Scheme with regards to car parking passes, and for the options to include:
 - separating the two car park concessions and reducing them,
 - for permits to be limited in number per political group,
 - choosing to have permits for one site only,
 - allowing Councillors to make a larger monthly contribution for both car parks near the Town Halls
 - or other suggestions which the IRP believe relevant.
- 5.4 The IRP considered the representations received from Members and the information presented by officers. The following options were identified:-

Option 1

- 5.5 Car park passes continue to be provided for both Norton Road and the Lanes and councillors make a monthly contribution, (deducted from their basic allowance payment) of £22.60.
- 5.6 The 12 spaces to the rear of the car park at Norton Road are held for councillors on a first come, first served basis. Any additional spaces required would be subject to availability in the main car park and if no spaces were available the councillor would need to find alternative parking and pay for it.
- 5.7 Additional spaces would continue to be reserved in the main Norton Road car park for full Council meetings to ensure all councillors with passes are able to attend.

Option 2

- 5.8 No car park passes are provided, and councillors are expected to use public transport or pay for parking when attending meetings.
- 5.9 The Panel noted that the Members Allowances Scheme provides that the use of taxis when leaving a meeting late at night can be claimed for.

Option 3

5.10 Passes are issued to those opting for a car park pass at Norton Road without the 12 designated councillor spaces being reserved to councillors, so that councillors would have to rely on finding an available space when attending meetings. This would free up the 12 spaces currently held in Norton Road and therefore generate a saving.

5.11 No passes are issued for the Lanes as the majority of meetings take place at Hove Town Hall, but arrangements would be made to enable those councillors wishing to park when attending full Council meetings are able to do so (Democratic Services to manage the arrangements).

Recommendation

- 5.12 The IRP noted the feedback from elected Members in relation to this issue. There were a wide range of different views expressed, from retaining the status quo to removing all support for Member parking. There was no consensus on the way forward to deliver this saving. The IRP considered the size of the saving that could be made from the withdrawal of the provision of the 12 spaces in Norton Road and balanced this against the representations that had been received and the potential impact on accessibility for councillors to council meetings.
- 5.13 In the absence of a majority view from the Groups supporting one option, the IRP did not consider that a case had been made to significantly alter the current arrangements. The Panel also considered that the potential impact on the accessibility of meetings to councillors and anyone considering becoming a councillor in the future would be disproportionate to the saving that could be achieved. The Panel also felt that this remained in line with the objectives of the Women in Local Government report as it maintained an option of choice for accessibility.
- 5.14 Therefore the IRP recommend Option 1 to full Council, which maintains an option for a monthly contribution for a car park pass to be paid by members who wish to use this (Mon- Fri) on the basis of 12 reserved spaces being available at Norton Road car park, as well as ongoing access to the Lanes car park.

Allowances for co-optees to Council Committees who attend in a personal capacity

6.1 On 13th May 2021 Policy & Resources Committee received a report on the Council's constitution which included the following proposal:-

Co-optees and standing invitees

- 4.11 It is proposed that the Council's existing arrangements for encouraging input from relevant groups in the area be enhanced by appointing the following additional non-voting co-optees to those of the Council's Committees specified below. It should be noted that options for future representation on other Committees will be kept under review, while the project to explore all available means of supporting co-optees and standing invitees to participate effectively in the Council's decision-making remains ongoing:
 - An additional co-optee to Environment, Transport and Sustainability Committee to represent the perspective of disabled people;
 - A representative from Parent Carers' Council (PaCC) to be co-opted onto Children, Young Persons and Skills Committee.

- 4.13 It is further proposed that a role description is developed for co-optees and standing invitees and that a report is brought back to this Committee to consider whether co-optees and standing invitees who are appointed and attend Council Committee meetings in a personal and voluntary capacity should receive an allowance to acknowledge the value they bring to the Council's decision-making. Such a proposal would also require consideration by the Independent Remuneration Panel.
- 6.2 Following this request from Policy & Resources Committee, the IRP have considered the proposal to offer an allowance to co-optees and standing invitees who attend Council Committee meetings in a personal and voluntary capacity. The IRP understand that this proposal would impact on the following roles:-

Committee	Role	Representing	Number of positions
Policy & Resources	Standing Invitee	BME representative	1
TECC	Standing Invitee	BME representative	1
ETS	Non-voting co-optee	Representative of disabled people	1

6.3 Under the current Scheme co-optee's allowances are currently paid to the two Independent Members of Audit and Standards Committee as follows;-

Independent Member of Audit & Standards Committee (x2) £1,058

Independent Member of Audit & Standards Committee (when serving as the Chair of a Standards Hearing Panel) £200

- 6.4 The IRP considered that there should be a differentiation in the allowances paid to the Independent Members and other co-optees/standing invitees because of the nature of the demands of the audit and standards role. The Independent Member role carries with it prescribed statutory responsibilities outside of the committee cycle which are embedded in the Council's Standards Procedure. These responsibilities require the Independent Members to undertake assessments of complaints of breaches of the Code of Conduct for members and to advise the Monitoring Officer of their findings. They are also involved in member training and policy review in relation to audit, governance and standards matters. They are required to manage and chair Standards Panel Hearings for which they receive a per meeting payment of £200.
- 6.5 The IRP considered the role of the co-optees and standing invitees against the draft job descriptions that have been prepared. The IRP considered that it was appropriate to apply the principles of the Scheme to those non-voting co-optees and standing invitees who do not represent organisations but attend in

a personal capacity. These principles include supporting the public service ethos, whereby there is a recognition of giving time voluntarily in the public interest, and also the Fair Remuneration principle, which recognises the advantage of a range of people being encouraged and enabled to be involved in local government from all walks of life.

Recommendation

6.6 Taking into account the above principles, and the decision of the Council to introduce a number of co-optee and standing invitee roles to its Committees, the IRP considered that an allowance of £500 per annum should be recommended for applicable roles. This sum would be intended to recognise the attendance of co-optees and standing invitees who do not represent organisations but attend in a personal and voluntary capacity at Council Committees (approximately 6-7 per annum) and to recognise their time engaged in preparation for those meetings. The IRP did not consider a per meeting payment would be appropriate because of the additional resource required to administer and time taken to apply for this and because the number of meetings is clearly set out in advance in the Council diary, so is a known quantity.

7. Conclusion

7.1 The IRP have listened carefully to all of the different representations from members in relation to the proposals addressed in this report, which have been referred to the IRP to support their consideration of amendments to the current Scheme. The IRP recognise that amendments to the Scheme may be necessary where there are developments in Council arrangements and recommend to full Council the proposed changes to the Scheme as set out in the report.

POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE

Agenda Item 15

Brighton & Hove City Council

Subject: 62 - 63 Old Steine and 3 - 4 Palace Place – Proposed

appropriation from General Fund to Housing Revenue Account for council owned settled temporary accommodation – Extract from the

proceedings of the Housing Committee meeting held

on the 23rd June 2021.

Date of Meeting: 1 July 2021

Contact Officer: Name: Shaun Hughes Tel: 01273 290569

E-mail: shaun.hughes@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Wards Affected: All Wards

FOR GENERAL RELEASE

Action Required of Policy & Resources Committee:

To receive the recommendations of the Housing Committee for consideration.

Recommendations:

- (1) That it be agreed to proceed with Option A (the appropriation and development of a 100% affordable, 11-home housing scheme to meet demand for temporary accommodation).
- (2) That a budget of up to £2.660m to be included in the HRA capital programme for 2021/22 financed by HRA borrowing, right to buy Receipts, general capital receipts and HRA reserves be approved, and
- (3) That the Executive Director of Housing, Neighbourhoods & Communities be granted delegated authority to appropriate 62-63 Old Steine & 3-4 Palace Place from the General Fund to the Housing Revenue Account and that the General Fund is compensated by £0.890m be agreed.

BRIGHTON & HOVE CITY COUNCIL

HOUSING COMMITTEE

4.00pm 23 JUNE 2021

MEETING

MINUTES

Present: Councillor Gibson (Joint Chair), Williams (Opposition Spokesperson) and Meadows.

PART ONE

- 9. 62 63 OLD STEINE AND 3 4 PALACE PLACE PROPOSED APPROPRIATION FROM GENERAL FUND TO HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT FOR COUNCIL OWNED SETTLED TEMPORARY ACCOMMODATION
- 9.1 The Head of Housing Repairs & Improvement introduced the report to the committee.
- 9.2 Councillor Williams was informed that the engagement process in July would include vulnerable people and the engagement process would be on going through out the development of the site. Lettings will be carried out carefully through the management plan and security will be installed via CCTV.
- 9.3 Councillor Meadows was informed that the building was considered to be dilapidated at the moment. The building has been within the council ownership for some time and the condition will be looked at via a full structural survey. The structural survey report, confirming the building to be acceptable, will be shared with the committee. The alleyway to the rear of the building will be looked at in the near future and will be covered by the local letting plan. CCTV will be around the whole building. It was noted that the General Fund will receive money back from the project. The build costs and price of the property are included in the £2.660m stated in the recommendations. The high costs will be reflected in the high quality of the units in development.
- 9.4 Councillor Williams stated they supported the recommendations.
- 9.5 Councillor Meadows noted there was a shortage of materials due to the pandemic and the costs were going up. The councillor requested that the build is looked at carefully with regard to costs.
- 9.6 Councillor Gibson stated that each unit in the development would cost approximately £240,000 and they would be constructed to a high standard. The councillor hoped the units would not cost more. The councillor supported the recommendations.
- 9.7 A vote was taken, and by a vote of 2 to 1 the committee agreed the recommendations.

RESOLVED:

- (1) That the Policy & Resources Committee be recommended to agree to proceed with Option A (the appropriation and development of a 100% affordable, 11-home housing scheme to meet demand for temporary accommodation).
- (2) That the Policy & Resources Committee be recommended to approve a budget of up to £2.660m to be included in the HRA capital programme for 2021/22 financed by HRA borrowing, right to buy Receipts, general capital receipts and HRA reserves.
- (3) That the Policy & Resources Committee be recommended to delegate authority to the Executive Director of Housing, Neighbourhoods & Communities to appropriate 62-63 Old Steine & 3-4 Palace Place from the General Fund to the Housing Revenue Account and that it be agreed that the General Fund is compensated by £0.890m.